



Procurement Department

Andy Penick, CPSM, GCPM, GCPA, MBA  
Procurement Director

**CERTIFIED MAILED/EMAILLED**

**TO:** All Vendors  
Tywanna Scott, Procurement Assurance Analyst  
Hameed Malik, Augusta Engineering and Environmental Services Department

**FROM:** Andy Penick, Procurement Director 

**DATE:** January 12, 2026

**SUBJ:** Clarification to the Specifications and Response to Vendor's Questions

**ITB ITEM:** ITB Item #26-128 Jones Alley Improvements Project for Augusta, GA - Augusta Engineering and Environmental Services Department

**ITB OPENING DATE:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 @ 3:00 p.m.

**ADDENDUM NO. 1**

This Addendum shall form a part of the referenced ITB Item #26-128 Jones Alley Improvements Project and any agreement entered into in connection therewith equally as if bound into the original document. Acknowledge receipt of all Addendums on Attachment "B" within the Specifications package.

**Clarification to the Specifications:**

- 1) 005-0002 –
  - a) Qualification statement added to description of pay item.
- 2) 009-3500- Needs to be separate
  - a) Updated. Lum sum pay item removed.
- 3) Grading Complete definition shall mention that Grading Complete scope shall include surveyed as-built.
  - a) As-built included added to description of grading complete pay item.
- 4) 610-0007 –
  - a) Description of pay item 610-0007 expanded to note the inclusion of several items.
- 5) S-19 needs to include new concrete collar detail
  - a) Added manhole collar to pay item description
- 6) Include 1 conflict box line item
  - a) New contingency pay item. Conflict box.
- 7) Include concrete class B for widening
  - a) New contingency pay item. AUD M-2.

- 8) Include line item for water meter relocation
  - a) **New contingency pay item. GDOT 670-9731.**
- 9) Include line item for back flow device relocation
  - a) **New backflow relocation pay item (670-9731) added to bid schedule.**
- 10) Heavy duty asphalt detail, AED does not use 9.5mm, so it needs to be changed for 19mm and 12.5 mm as top
  - a) **Asphalt detail updated. See sheet L502.**
  - b) **Asphalt pay items updated.**
- 11) Don't see concrete 3500 psi in the estimate for concrete base at paver area
  - a) **Brick paver pay item added.**
- 12) CMU scope will be included in this project, if so there is no quantities on the cost estimate (including rebar, CMU, grout, reinforcement, etc.)
  - a) **Language to building demolition and renovation pay item added.**
- 13) What is the line item for black pigment concrete?
  - a) **Line item for black pigmented concrete added to bid alternate.**
- 14) Sheet S-100 is missing stamp and signature
  - a) **Structural stamp and signature added to sheet S-100.**
- 15) Include 1 Fire Hydrant line item
  - a) **New contingency pay item. AUD W-5.**
- 16) Include some CYDS of flowable fill
  - a) **New contingency pay item. AUD M-1.**
- 17) AED commented on the spreadsheet that the unit for pay items S-19, W-19, and W-20 were incorrect.
  - a) **Additional description added to pay items to clarify that these pay items are AUD, not GDOT.**
- 18) Specification 7.3 Traffic Control **replaced** due to review comments noted in specification.
- 19) Specification 8.6 Ad-to-Advertisement **replaced** due to review comments noted in specification.

**All changes made to specifications are in narrative with replacement specification with section replaced in addendum 1. Plan drawings are clouded and identified with the number "1" and are included with addendum 1.**

**Response to Vendor's Questions:**

1. Question: Will "knock out" precast drainage structures be allowed.  
**Response: "Knock out" precast drainage structures will NOT be allowed.**
2. Question: Will videoing and/or laser profiling of the storm drain be required?  
**Response: Yes, before acceptance of work.**

3. Question: Please provide schedules and milestone dates for relocation of third-party utilities. Is the time required for the relocation of the third-party utilities estimated in the established calendar days in the agreement?

**Response: It will be shared with lowest bidder during pre-bid conference. The contractor is responsible for utilities coordination.**

4. Question: In General Conditions, GC-110, Will a field office be required? If so, how is it to be paid?

**Response: No. GC specification updated to show strike through on N/A specification.**

5. Question: In General Conditions, GC-26, it states, "all storm drain pipe shall have a minimum 6" bedding. "Will this be required?

**Response: Backfill shall be priced as incidental to the pipe. Refer to bid schedule. GC specification updated to show strike through on 6" GAB requirement.**

6. Question: Is a soil survey or other geotechnical evaluations available?

**Response: Geotechnical evaluation was not completed for this project.**

7. Question: In General Conditions, GC-28, references GDOT Specification 155. Has a non-infested area been designated on the plans that soil can be moved to as stated in the specification?

**Response: GC specification updated to show strike through on 6" GAB requirement.**

8. Question: With the US DOT IFR released on October 3, 2025, and with GDOT suspending their DBE requirements on new projects, will the DBE requirements on this project be suspended as per the IFR?

**Response: The DBE goal has been removed. Please refer to the attachment.**

9. Question: If the DBE is required, will the percentage be based on the base bid only?

**Response: See response to question 8.**

10. Question: Will the determination of the apparent low bidder be based off the base bid only or the base bid and bid alternates?

**Response: The apparent low bidder will be based off the base bid only.**

11. Question: In the project specifications, "Section 150.6 Special Conditions" subpart A, it states, "The contractor shall not install lane closures, shoulder closures on Wheeler Road". Considering "Wheeler Road" is not in the project limits of this project, will lane closure restrictions be mandated on this project? If so, please clarify the restrictions?

**Response: Typo and corrected on new GC specification.**

12. Question: In the project specifications, there is a special provision for "Insurance protection of Utility interests" that references "Project: CR601/Wheeler Rd from I-20 to CR 804/Augusta West Parkway". Please clarify if this special provision will be required?

**Response: Item removed.**

13. Question: With this project having federal funding, are there any wage determinations that are required on this project? If so, please provide.

**Response: Note on Instruction to Bidders on page IB-6 section IB-10 provides a link for federal requirements.**

14. Question: On the bid schedule, line item # 001-5000, "Utility Relocation Complete". There does not appear to be a Utility relocation plan on the project plans and the only notes on the plans say that the contractor is responsible for "coordinating" with the various utility companies. Please clarify what is to be included in this item? Please identify any required relocation "fees" that need to be included in our pricing?

**Response: Utility relocation line item removed from bid schedule. Not applicable.**

15. Question: The landscape plans do not show any irrigation for the new landscaping that is to be installed. Will an irrigation plan be provided? If not, what needs to be included in pricing to provide irrigation to these plants?

**Response: No irrigation is scheduled to be installed. Note added to landscape plan that the Contractor is to hand water to establish and provide a one-year warranty. Refer to update plan – L204.**

16. Question: On the bid schedule, line item # 441-4010, the pay unit is by the "SY". This is typically paid by the "LF", please clarify?

**Response: 441-4010 is a modification of the concrete valley gutter pay item. Per the GDOT pay item index, this quantity is list in SF unlike typically concrete curb and gutter. Cranston sees no reason why this cannot be changed to LF.**

17. Question: On the bid schedule, line item # 500-3650, please clarify what this pay item is to be used for?

**Response: The class AA-1 concrete is for the driveways in the circle.**

18. Question: On the bid schedule, line item #900-0035, please clarify where this brick paver sidewalk is located in the base bid?

**Response: Bricker pavers are a part of the base bid for raised circle and connection to the Augusta Commons (where the building is to be demolished).**

19. Question: On the project plans, sheet L501, detail 5, shows the detail of the sidewalk paver section but does not show the typical section of what is underneath. Detail #3 on sheet L501 shows a typical section; however, 6" of concrete and GABC seems to be kind of heavy for a pedestrian sidewalk section. Please clarify. On sheet 501 detail 5 and 6 should use the detail 3 for base; 6" concrete and 6" GAB as shown on plans.

**Response: Cranston is assuming that the brick pavers in the circle are likely to be used by motor vehicles and designed accordingly. If brick paver alternate 1 is selected the 6" concrete footing and 6" GAB apply to the sidewalk installation due to motor vehicle accessibility due to roll curb.**

20. Question: The project plans show two 8" concrete driveways aprons. Please provide a detail on these driveways (utilize same details as shown on 502 for 8" concrete paving detail) and how are these to be paid?

**Response: Concrete for driveways is quantified in pay item 500-3650. GAB for driveways is factored into GAB pay item quantity.**

21. Question: On sheet L501, detail 1, please provide the depth of the graded aggregate base course underneath the sidewalk? (plan is corrected – indicates 4")

**Response: Detail on sheet L501 improved.**

22. Question: On sheet L202, Storm Line A0.Y, the information on Structure # A0.5Y2 seems to be cut off not showing the invert elevation. Please clarify.

**Response: Profile cutoff corrected.**

23. Question: On Sheet L201, the grades shown on Structure A 0.7X are different than what is shown on Sheet L202. Please clarify.

**Response: Structure A0.6X (formerly A0.7X) adjusted to grade. New profile for the storm line A0.6X added to sheet L202.**

24. Question: There is 12" Storm pipe shown on the plans. There does not appear to be a pay item for this. How is this to be paid?.(All pipe to be 18" RCP - plan is corrected)

**Response: Minimum size and material of all storm pipes changes to be 18" RCP.**

25. Question: Sheet L200 states that in the base bid, the crosswalks shall be "the Augusta standard white paint border with stamped asphalt brick color and pattern". How is this to be paid?

**Response: 8" white border added to 653-1804. Stamped asphalt brick pattern and color surface added to 999-1500.**

26. Question: Please clarify what bid items # S-1A and S-15 are to be used for as it is not clearly shown on the plans?

**Response: Pay item S-1A has been removed. Pay item S-15 refers to the locations where proposed storm pipes cross existing water mains. There are three locations identifiable on sheets L201 and L202.**

**Please acknowledge addendum in your submittal**

**END ADDENDUM**

<b>ATTACHMENTS:</b>	<b>BID PROPOSAL</b>	<b>(4 PAGES)</b>
	<b>GENERAL CONDITIONS</b>	<b>(60 PAGES)</b>
	<b>SPECIAL PROVISION</b>	<b>(60 PAGES)</b>
	<b>TRAFFIC CONTROL</b>	<b>(1 PAGE)</b>
	<b>AMENDMENT TO REMOVAL OF DBE CONTRACT GOAL</b>	<b>(1 PAGE)</b>